| C | ase 1:19-cv-11966 | | y 1925 tak-1 | Page I UI 3 | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|--------------------|
| | MEMD | ENDOR | SED | The same of the sa | |
| - | | · 1 | | USDO SIDNESS | ' |
| | | C | | JOYNT | |
| Transmitter Verander | UNIT | ED STATES DIS ERN DISTRICT | OF WEW YOU | EK! CONICALLY | |
| π | | | LAN | EMIES | $File_D /\!\!/ = $ |
| Desdi | an McInto | 5N | - Augustin | FILED: 3-12 | |
| (List the full | name(s) of the plaintiff(s)/pe | titioner(s).) | - 10 cv 119 | 766 (LAK) | |
| | -against- | | | on for the Court to | Marian Alexander |
| Un | ited States | | | Pro Bono Counsel | , P |
| | | į. | _ | <u>~</u> | S |
| (List the full | name(s) of the defendant(s), | respondent(s).) | | 20 20 H AR | N Z |
| Look tho | Court to request a pro | hono attorney to repre | ecent me in this act | ion. In support of my | PROF |
| | | | | ation is true and correct | 38. |
| 1. Have | you previously filed | "Request to Proceed | in Forma Pauperis' | ' (an IFP application) | IVED SE OFFICE |
| | se check the appropria | | | <u> </u> | CE |
| 3 4 5 | have previously filed epresentation of my cu | an IFP application in t | his case, and it is a | true and correct | |
| _ | | ¥ | in this case and no | w attach an original IF | P |
| | application showing m | | | | |
| I have previously filed an IFP application in this case, but my financial status has changed. I have attached a new IFP application showing my current financial status. | | | | | |
| ĺ | 54 - 04 | ttorney in this case. (P | | | |
| coun | sel are rarely granted | at the early stages of a | case and usually n | ot before the Court has | |
| | | erits of the case.) If your sections of the case.) | | mey earlier in this case, orney. | |
| - 1 | | | | Iamariabeo | lute |
| lauma | an to the appl | icable law tom | y cose; the | complexityin | the |
| natu | ve of the cho | avages (rocket | eeving con | spirocy, in viola | ation |
| of Ti | tle 18, United | 1 States Cod | e, section 1 | 969(d), Murde | <u>w/</u> |
| Atte | mpted Murde | 1. The severi | ty of the m | aximumpena | lty, |
| | | | | s lockdown mos | |
| Myou | ignout me ya | x-inevetore, | peritioner | never has a vec | asonable when |
| O Y VOK Rev. 3/27/1 | a compaler | tioilhous la | way,aroo | ain assistance | zarivoucy (|
| , | or compensat | i juninouse idi | inga, | | |
| i i | | | | | |

Memorandum Endorsement

The request for the Court to request pro bono counsel is denied without prejudice to renewal (1) after the government responds to the Section 2255 motion, and (2) movant files a complete and satisfactory application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

March 25, 2020

Lewis A. Kaplan

United States District Judge